





## **Comparison of satellite-derived chlorophyll-a and** Turbidity measurements with probe data at Lake Rummelsburg, Berlin



**Philipp Vormeier Christian Reinhardt-Imjela** Jens Bölscher **Achim Schulte** 



- Urban waters have a high recreational potential (Dahm et al. 2014)
  - > The bathing options at river Spree will increase in the near future



The aim of project "Flussbad Berlin" is to convert the tributary Kupfergraben of River Spree to a bathing area. Therefore a 750 meter long bathing area will be implemented into the historical city centre of Berlin.



- Urban waters have a high recreational potential (Dahm et al. 2014)
  - > The bathing options at river Spree will increase in the near future
- Algae blooms can be poisonous fo the human body (Köhler & Fromme 2002)



Streaking Cyanobacteria at the water surface. (Unterhavel\*)



- Urban waters have a high recreational potential (Dahm et al. 2014)
  - > The bathing options at river Spree will increase in the near future
- Algae blooms can be poisonous fo the human body (Köhler & Fromme 2002)
- The goals of the eu water framework directive is to have a good ecologically and chemical status of surface waters
  - Could not be implemented so far (Karrasch et al. 2016)



- Urban waters have a high recreational potential (Dahm et al. 2014)
  - The bathing options at river Spree will increase in the near future
- Algae blooms can be poisonous fo the human body (Köhler & Fromme 2002)
- The goals of the eu water framework directive is to have a good ecologically and chemical status of surface waters
  - Could not be implemented so far (Karrasch et al. 2016)
- The operative Monitoring is not capable of covering the water quality with a high temporal and spatial frequency (Quick et al. 2016)

#### 13.01 11.02 10.03 20.04 18.05 15.06 13.07 10.08 07.09 06.10 03.11

Similar results in Mecklenburg-Vorpommern by Dörnhöfer et al. (2018)



- Urban waters have a high recreational potential (Dahm et al. 2014)
  - The bathing options at river Spree will increase in the near future
- Algae blooms can be poisonous fo the human body (Köhler & Fromme 2002)
- The goals of the eu water framework directive is to have a good ecologically and chemical status of surface waters
  - Could not be implemented so far (Karrasch et al. 2016)
- The operative Monitoring is not capable of covering the water quality with a high temporal and spatial frequency (Quick et al. 2016)



Water remote sensing offers the only option of complex water quality monitoring regarding the short-term spatial-temporal dynamics of water bodies (Zumbroich et al. 2012)

#### **Research question**



To what extent does the extracted satellite data match with the Chlorophyll-a and Turbidity data of the in situ measurements?

> What is the actual persistence of Chlorophyll-a und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellite-based measurements?

> > Is the represented concentration distribution of satellite-based data for Chlorophyll-a and turbidity spatially correct? And therefore does the reflection at the benthic has an effect concerning the accuracy of the results?

#### **Study area**







To what extent does the extracted satellite data match with the Chlorophyll-a and Turbidity data of the in situ measurements? Regression analysis Kruskal-Wallis-Test Bonferroni-method



To what extent does the extracted satellite data match with the Chlorophyll-a and Turbidity data of the in situ measurements? Regression analysis Kruskal-Wallis-Test Bonferroni-method

What is the actual persistence of Chlorophylla und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellitebased measurements? Autocorrelation of the complete investigation period (RuBuS project) Autocorrelation of the measuring period (10 days before and after)



To what extent does the extracted satellite data match with the Chlorophyll-a and Turbidity data of the in situ measurements? Regression analysis Kruskal-Wallis-Test Bonferroni-method

What is the actual persistence of Chlorophylla und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellitebased measurements? Autocorrelation of the complete investigation period (RuBuS project) Autocorrelation of the measuring period (10 days before and after)

Is the represented concentration distribution of satellite-based data for Chlorophyll-a and turbidity spatially correct? And therefore does the reflection at the benthic has an effect concerning the accuracy of the results?

Flow-Chart-Diagram

- → Moran's Index
- $\rightarrow$  Spatial correlation analysis



To what extent does the extracted satellite data match with the Chlorophyll-a and Turbidity data of the in situ measurements? Regression analysis Kruskal-Wallis-Test Bonferroni-method Freie Universität



Regression analysis Kruskal-Wallis-Test Bonferroni-method

- The results of the regression analysis shows significant differences between the two datasets
  - The biggest match were captured in the depth of 150cm and 200cm



Freie Universität

Berlin

To what extent does the extracted satellite data match with the Chlorophyll-a and Turbidity data of the in situ measurements? Regression analysis Kruskal-Wallis-Test Bonferroni-method

- The results of the regression analysis shows significant differences between the two data sets
  - The biggest match were captured in the depth of 150cm and 200cm
- The test of mean differences shows also significant differences between the two data sets
  - he biggest match were also captured in the depth of 150cm and 200cm



Freie Universität

Berlin



Regression analysis Kruskal-Wallis-Test Bonferroni-method

- The results of the regression analysis shows significant differences between the two data sets
  - The biggest match were captured in the depth of 150cm and 200cm
- The test of mean differences shows also significant differences between the two data sets
  - he biggest match were also captured in the depth of 150cm and 200cm
- The post-hoc Bonferroni-method shows also significant differences between the two data sets

p-Werte für Chlorophyll-a am 14.02.2015					
Datenreihen	T1_20cm	T2_50cm	T3_100cm	T4_150cm	T5_200cm
T2_50cm	1	-	-	-	-
T3_100cm	1	1	-	-	-
T4_150cm	0,3735	1	1	-	-
T5_200cm	1	1	1	0,6880	-
EOMAP	0,0128	0,0008	0,0004	3,67E-07	0,0051



What is the actual persistence of Chlorophylla und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellitebased measurements? Autocorrelation of the complete investigation period (RuBuS project) Autocorrelation of the measuring period (10 days before and after)



Freie Universität

What is the actual persistence of Chlorophylla und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellitebased measurements? Autocorrelation of the complete investigation period (RuBuS project) Autocorrelation of the measuring period (10 days before and after) Data: Sensor measuring chain



Freie Universität

What is the actual persistence of Chlorophylla und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellitebased measurements? Autocorrelation of the complete investigation period (RuBuS project) Autocorrelation of the measuring period (10 days before and after) Data: Sensor measuring chain



What is the actual persistence of Chlorophylla und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellitebased measurements? Autocorrelation of the complete investigation period (RuBuS project) Autocorrelation of the measuring period (10 days before and after) Data: Sensor measuring chain

Freie Universität

Berlin



Freie Universität

What is the actual persistence of Chlorophylla und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellitebased measurements? Autocorrelation of the complete investigation period (RuBuS project) Autocorrelation of the measuring period (10 days before and after) Data: Sensor measuring chain



What is the actual persistence of Chlorophylla und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellitebased measurements? Autocorrelation of the complete investigation period (RuBuS project) Autocorrelation of the measuring period (10 days before and after) Data: Sensor measuring chain

Freie Universität



- The complete investigation period has a daily persistence of 3 days
  - Independent of depth
  - Trubidity is slightly more persistent

Berlin

What is the actual persistence of Chlorophylla und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellitebased measurements? Autocorrelation of the complete investigation period (RuBuS project) Autocorrelation of the measuring period (10 days before and after) Data: Sensor measuring chain

- The complete investigation period has a daily persistence of 3 days
  - Independent of depth
  - Trubidity is slightly more persistent
- The daily persistence of the measuring period is considerably lower
  - Chlorophyll-a → 2 days
  - Turbidity <sup>→</sup> <1 day





of satellite-based data for Chlorophyll-a and turbidity spatially correct? And therefore does the reflection at the benthic has an effect concerning the accuracy of the results?

Is the represented concentration distribution







Is the represented concentration distribution of satellite-based data for Chlorophyll-a and turbidity spatially correct? And therefore does the reflection at the benthic has an effect concerning the accuracy of the results?

#### Flow-Chart-Diagram

- → Moran's Index
- $\rightarrow$  Spatial correlation analysis

#### Data: Bathymetry, EOMAP, YSI-Sonde data

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

Is the represented concentration distribution of satellite-based data for Chlorophyll-a and turbidity spatially correct? And therefore does the reflection at the benthic has an effect concerning the accuracy of the results?

#### Flow-Chart-Diagram

- → Moran's Index
- $\rightarrow$  Spatial correlation analysis

Data: Bathymetry, EOMAP, YSI-Sonde data

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### EOMAP Trübung 14.02.2015

#### Step 1:

3 of 4 raster data sets are clustered

Freie Universität

Is the represented concentration distribution of satellite-based data for Chlorophyll-a and turbidity spatially correct? And therefore does the reflection at the benthic has an effect concerning the accuracy of the results?

#### Flow-Chart-Diagram

- → Moran's Index
- $\rightarrow$  Spatial correlation analysis

Data: Bathymetry, EOMAP, YSI-Sonde data

#### Step 1:

- 3 of 4 raster data sets are clustered
- Step 2:
  - There is no correlation between the satellite data and the bathymetry

#### Korrelationsmatrix zwischen den Konzentrationsgehalten (EOMAP) und der Bathymetrie

![](_page_27_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

Is the represented concentration distribution of satellite-based data for Chlorophyll-a and turbidity spatially correct? And therefore does the reflection at the benthic has an effect concerning the accuracy of the results? Flow-Chart-Diagram

→ Moran's Index

 $\rightarrow$  Spatial correlation analysis

Data: Bathymetry, EOMAP, YSI-Sonde data

#### Step 1:

3 of 4 raster data sets are clustered

- Step 2:
  - There is no correlation between the satellite data and the bathymetry
- Step 3:
  - There is a weak correlation between the multiparametersonde data and the bathymetry

![](_page_28_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Conclusion

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

To what extent does the extracted satellite data match with the Chlorophyll-a and Turbidity data of the in situ measurements? What is the actual persistence of Chlorophyll-a und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellite-based measurements? Is the represented concentration distribution of satellite-based data for Chlorophyll-a and turbidity spatially correct? And therefore does the reflection at the benthic has an effect concerning the accuracy of the results?

All three statistical test show significant differences between the two data sets The difference of three days between the recording dates is too large The distribution of concentration is displayed similar by both measurments and is therefore not influenced by reflection processes at the benthic

#### Conclusion

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

To what extent does the extracted satellite data match with the Chlorophyll-a and Turbidity data of the in situ measurements? What is the actual persistence of Chlorophyll-a und Turbidity at Lake Rummelsburg and how comparable is the data with satellite-based measurements? Is the represented concentration distribution of satellite-based data for Chlorophyll-a and turbidity spatially correct? And therefore does the reflection at the benthic has an effect concerning the accuracy of the results?

All three statistical test show significant differences between the two data sets The difference of three days between the recording dates is too large The distribution of concentration is displayed similar by both measurments and is therefore not influenced by reflection processes at the benthic

The time difference between the two recording dates is to large, and as a result of the literature research the kind of sonde that is used has absolutely to be taken in account

### Outlook

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Critical consideration of both measuring points
- Same recording date
- Standardized measuring methods (laboratory analysis)

- Data sets with higher spatial accuracy
- > A complete time series for autocorrelation analysis (whole year)
- Study area with high concentrations of chl and turbidity (lishanas for instance)

Institute of Geographical Sciences Applied Geography, Environmental Hydrology and Resource Management

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)